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Abstract

This summary of the paper should not exceed 200 words. Rather than arousing the readers’ curiosity, it should summarize all relevant information provided in the paper. For empirical articles, the abstract should (just as the main body of the text) follow the implicit structure Research question – Methods – Results – Discussion. Please also provide the size and type of sample(s) when reporting empirical research.

Keywords

Term 1, Term 2, … Term 5 (two to five keywords characterizing the topic of the paper).

Impact statement

This text of about 100 to 150 words should highlight, in easily comprehensible language, the implications of your work for the application of environmental psychology, practitioners, and the general public.

*Note:* First, please describe in one sentence what you have done and what key results you have found. Then explain what this means for use in practice. Please be as specific as possible and also address possible limitations of applicability.

Zusammenfassung

German translation of the English language abstract, no longer than 200 words. The Zusammenfassung must contain the *same* information as the English version, i.e. it *must not* contain any additional or differing information. In case you need assistance with the German translation of your abstract (e.g., proof-reading of an AI-translated draft), let us know via [epo@umweltpsychologie.de](mailto:epo@umweltpsychologie.de).

Schlüsselwörter

term 1, term 2, … term 5 (two to five keywords characterizing the paper topic, German equivalents of the English keywords used above)

Impact-Statement

German translation of the impact statement (approximately 100 to 150 words), containing the *same* information as the English version, i.e. it *must not* contain any additional or differing information.

# Introduction

## General information on using the template

The correct use of the format templates for the different text components is very important in order to be able to publish your contribution in an efficient, visually appealing and future-proof way. We therefore reserve the right to reject manuscripts that deviate from this template.

We recommend that you write your contribution directly in this template.

If you already have the text ready elsewhere, copy it into this template and assign the appropriate styles of this template to all text components (e.g. Normal, Title, Subtitle, Heading 1, etc.).

Tip: If you copy text from an existing document into this template, use the paste option "Adapt to target formatting" or "Use target design" or similar (the wording may vary depending on the program version), which you can access via the "Paste Options" button (small clipboard symbol that appears at the bottom right of the pasted text).

## Content of the introduction section

The introduction section serves to highlight and motivate the central topic or key question of the manuscript. The presented research should be adequately embedded into a review of previous research. Rather than citing a lot of research in a non-selective way, authors should refer to the literature most relevant to the research question at hand. The typical empirical paper cannot provide a complete overview of the entire literature. Questions that reviewers consider when evaluating this part of the manuscript include: Are research questions well motivated/hypotheses adequately justified? Is the presented work integrated into previous research/is the contribution to the existing literature made explicit?

## General guidelines regarding format and style

### Headings for structuring the text

Headings are numbered according to the decimal system (i.e.: 1, 1.1, 1.2, 2 etc.; this is set accordingly in this template). Please use a maximum of three structuring levels and format them with the corresponding preset format style: "Heading 1" to "Heading 3".

All headings should be numbered consistently, e.g., if a main section is divided into subsections (i.e. with second-order heading), there should be no part that is not assigned to a subsection. In particular, no main section should begin directly with main text if there are subsections in the course of the main section.

### Formatting of the main text

The main text is assigned the format style “Normal”. Its lines are single-spaced and it uses the freely available font “EB Garamond” (embedded and preset in this template). Please also note that only *italics* are permitted for highlighting. Underlining or bold text, tabs and other formatting are not permitted.

### Structure and comprehensibility

The presentation of the work should be clear and comprehensible. For empirical papers, preferably follow the default structure in this template “Introduction”, “Method”, “Results”, “Discussion”. Other article types may deviate from this structure; however, the reasoning should be clearly structured and comprehensible. On the one hand, the text should be concise, that is, irrelevant or redundant content should be avoided. On the other hand, the text should be long enough to contain all relevant information. Scientific terms and concepts should be defined upon first use.

### Gender-neutral language

Authors should ensure that writing is free from bias. Inclusive language should be used throughout the manuscript. Striving towards gender neutrality, neutral nouns should be used where possible (e.g., “flight attendant” instead of “stewardess”) and the singular “they” may be helpful (to avoid using “he”, “she”, or “he/she”).

### Article types and word limits

Manuscripts can be submitted either as a *Regular article* (maximum 7000 words, 5 figures or tables) or as a *Short report* (maximum 2500 words, 2 figures or tables). Commentaries are limited to a maximum of 1500 words. Word limit restrictions include footnotes, but not the abstract, impact and open-science statement, reference list, tables, figures, and captions. Additional figures or tables are permissible if the text is shortened accordingly.

# Methods

The methods that have been used to obtain the results are described in this section. This includes the description of sample characteristics, design, measurement tools, operationalization, study procedure, and data analysis. The authors should report all data exclusions and how they determined their sample sizes.

Questions that reviewers may ask to evaluate this part of the manuscript include: Is the operationalization of psychological constructs described in a clear way? Is the procedure described at a level of detail that allows replication by others? Is the research design suited to address the research question at hand?

# Results

## Guidelines regarding the content of the results section

The results or findings of your work are described in this section. Questions that reviewers may ask when evaluating this part of the manuscript include: Is the chosen analysis strategy clearly described and suitable for addressing the research question? Is the description of results separated from their interpretation?

## Presenting statistical results

If your text includes statistics, these should be reported according to APA guidelines (2020). For example, the reporting of means should be accompanied by the reporting of standard deviations. The results of statistical tests should be reported according to the following pattern: “The main effect of the intervention on the frequency of pro-environmental behavior was significant, *F*(1, 145) = 5.43, *p* = .021. Within the experimental group, we further found a significant correlation between connectedness to nature and environmental attitude, *r*(55) = .49, *p* < .001.”

It is recommended to (also) report effect sizes and confidence intervals, according to the pattern: “*t*(158) = -5.55, *p* < .001, *d* = -0.88, 95 % CI for *d* [-1.20, -0.55]”. Statistical symbols (e.g., *N*, *SD*, *t*, *d*, *p*, *R*2) that are not Greek letters (e.g., α, β) are italicized.

## Guidelines for figures and tables

Please embed figures and tables in the manuscript, at the place where you would like them to appear if the paper gets accepted for publication. Make sure that you refer to all figures and tables in the text (for an example, see Table 1).

| Table 1  Sample description | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Variable | Experimental group | Control group |
| Proportion of students | 88 % | 91 % |
| Driver's license possession | 82 % | 78 % |
| Age | *M* = 23.39, *SD* = 4.92 | *M* = 23.53, *SD* = 4.95 |
| EAS | *M* = 14.23, *SD* = 5.42 | *M* = 16.18, *SD* = 4.88 |
| *Note*. General comments on the table are made here and all unfamiliar abbreviations are defined. EAS = sum score of the Environmental Attitude Scale. | | |

Titles of tables and figures must be short and informative. They appear above the table/figure and do not end with a period. A further description may appear directly below the table/figure. It should make the table/figure comprehensible without reading the manuscript text. Figures displaying statistical results should contain error bars and the meaning of the chosen error bars should be clarified in the figure caption (see Figure 1). The entire page width *may* be used for figures and tables.

Figure 1 (format: Figure Number)

Exemplary depiction of results

*Note*. Error bars are standard errors of the mean.

If you plan to reproduce content in figures or tables that has already been published elsewhere, please ask yourself whether this is absolutely necessary. Ideally, you simply refer to the original source without directly reproducing the content. Where this is not possible or meaningful, you need to obtain written permission for reproducing the content in printed and electronic form and you must submit this permission together with the manuscript.

## Guidelines regarding figure quality

Illustrations must be submitted in printable quality, i.e. as vector graphics (see <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vector_graphics>) or in sufficiently high resolution. For pixel graphics, use a width of 17 cm with 600 ppi for a page-wide image, i.e. an image width of 4,000 pixels. For smaller images, please scale the width accordingly.

If you would like to design your illustrations so that they fit perfectly into the EPO design, please use the ([freely available](https://github.com/googlefonts/geologica/tree/main/fonts/ttf) font) [Geologica](https://fonts.google.com/specimen/Geologica) ([font weight: Light](https://raw.githubusercontent.com/googlefonts/geologica/main/fonts/ttf/Geologica-Light.ttf), font size: 9). We would be grateful for this, but it is not obligatory.

## Supplementary materials

Relevant information (e.g., study instructions, questionnaire items, interview guides, coding systems) and results that do not fit into the manuscript can be submitted as Supplementary Material. All Supplementary Materials should be submitted as a single pdf file.

# Discussion

## Content of the discussion section

In the discussion, appropriate conclusions are derived from the results or from the reflections presented. Conclusions need to be corroborated by the reported material. Speculations need be acknowledged as such. The discussion relates to the problems introduced in the introduction and integrates the results into the existing literature.

Questions that reviewers may ask to evaluate this part of the manuscript include: Are the generalizability of the results to other populations and situations and other limitations of the work adequately discussed?

## Final formatting steps

### Footnotes

Footnotes should be used *very sparingly* and *not for citations*, but only for comments on content. They should be numbered consecutively. Use the footnote function (e.g., for MS Word: menu “Insert” → “Footnote”, format “1, 2, 3…”).

### Anonymizing the manuscript

Our external review process is double-blind, i.e. the author and reviewer remain unknown to each other. Please make sure that your manuscript does not contain any references to your person(s) (apart from the title page, which will be removed by us for the review). If you need to cite your own previous work, you can mask these references for the review process.

### Citations and references

References should be made in accordance with the current version of the APA guidelines (currently: APA, 2020). They are cited in the text by stating the name(s) of the author(s) with the year, if necessary by adding a letter (a, b, c) if duplicates would otherwise be generated. One or two authors are always written out in full. Citations are either given in brackets, whereby the second name is appended with the “&”-sign, or in continuous text, whereby the second name is appended with “and”. Examples: “As Schmidt and Maier (1999) have already found ...”; “These findings are not new (Schmidt & Maier, 1999)”. If there are three or more authors, the citation is in the form “First author et al.” (e.g., Schmidt et al., 1988). The complete reference for each source then appears in the reference list below the main text of the manuscript.

The reference list is part of the manuscript. It begins on a new page and is included in the heading numbering. In the reference list, entries are sorted alphabetically by names and chronologically within entries featuring the same authors. The source (i.e. the name of the book, medium or journal) is highlighted in italics. For book and journal articles, the page numbers must always be included. Be sure to include the DOI for each reference (or, if no DOI is available, at least a URL). *Tip*: To save yourself some manual work, you can use reference management software (e.g., Citavi, Zotero, Endnote, BibDesk, Jabref, Mendeley) and have the references automatically generated or integrated into the manuscript. Automatically generated bibliographies usually contain fewer errors.

# Open science statement

  

This statement is obligatory for all empirical articles and systematic reviews. If you provide links to external sites or sources, please make sure that they do not reveal your identity. This is important for the anonymity of our review process.

This is where you describe where you have published your data and research materials. In principle, data, analysis scripts and materials should be made publicly available. If parts of the data, analysis scripts or materials cannot be shared, the reasons for this must be specified here and the authors must explain what steps readers would have to take to gain access to the data and materials.

Please make explicit whether you have preregistered your study and analysis strategy or not. If you have preregistered your study, please provide the link to the preregistration and confirm that you report all preregistered analyses in the manuscript and that you disclose all deviations from the preregistration. In the main text of the manuscript, all deviations from the preregistration must be made explicit. You must also clearly distinguish between preregistered and non-preregistered analyses, for example by using separate sections in the Results Section for confirmatory and exploratory analyses.

Please add a sentence along the lines of: “We confirm that, for all studies, we have reported all measures, conditions, data exclusions, and how we determined our sample sizes.” You should, of course, add any additional text to ensure the statement is accurate.

*Example:* All data and analysis scripts can be downloaded at <https://doi.org/10.23668/psycharchives.12914>. Stimulus materials and questionnaire items can be found in the supplementary materials of this article. Study 1 was not preregistered. Study 2 was preregistered at <https://osf.io/xxxxx/> and was conducted in accordance with this preregistration. We confirm that our paper includes all studies that we have conducted on this research question and that, for all studies reported, we have reported all measures, conditions and data exclusions, as well as the rationale behind our sample size.

**Awarding of** [**Open Science Badges**](https://www.cos.io/initiatives/badges)**.** EPO wants to make Open Science practices more visible and therefore awards [*Open Science Badges*](https://www.cos.io/initiatives/badges) where this is justified.

How do you go about it?

1. Read the detailed [criteria](https://osf.io/tvyxz/wiki/1.%20View%20the%20Badges/) of the [Center for Open Science](https://www.cos.io/), at: <https://osf.io/tvyxz/wiki/>.
2. Remove those *Open Science Badges* from the margin next to the heading “Open Science Statement” that do not apply to your contribution.
3. Formulate your information in the Open-Science-Statement in such a way that it is clear how the remaining badges are justified. In the text, address the points that are explained on our website on the topic of Open Science.

# References

American Psychological Association. (2020). Publication manual of the American Psychological Association 2020: the official guide to APA style (7th ed.). American Psychological Association.

Schmidt, W. & Maier, H. G. (1999). An exemplary journal article. *Journal of Exemplary Journal Articles*, *4*, 347-358. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1017/j.ojep.2023.102655>

Schmidt, W., Maier, H. G. & Müller, A. (1988). The example chapter. In B. Werner & K. W. Klaus (Eds.), *Collected Examples* (pp. 137-147). Publisher X.

Society for Digital Sources (2017). *How to cite online sources*. Retrieved August 21, 2019, from <https://www.societyfordigitalsources.com/publications/online>

License

This article is licensed under the *Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International* license, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format (including for commercial purposes) if certain conditions are met. In particular, you must give appropriate credit to the original author and source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if you have made any modifications. Images or other material from third parties included in this publication are also covered by this Creative Commons license.

An easy-to-understand description of this license can be found at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en>. The legally binding, complete text of the license is also linked there.

Disclaimer

The statements, opinions and data contained in this paper are solely those of the respective authors and not of Environmental Psychology Open and/or the editors and/or the publishing entity. Environmental Psychology Open and/or the editors and/or the publishing entity disclaim any responsibility for injury to persons or damage to property resulting from the ideas, methods, instructions or products mentioned in the content.
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